JUN 20 2003 1 11 FR KING AND SPALDING LLP404 572 5145 TO 5551#08286#10500 P.02/05

#81155 5 Dmw 23/15.

Official



PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Applica | ion of: |)) |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Stephen A. 7 | homas et al. |) |
| Serial No.: | 10/045,652 | Group Art Unit: 2633 |
| Filed: | October 26, 2001 |) Examiner: Unassigned |
| For: Metho Downs Netwo | d and System For Processing tream Packets of an Optical rk |))) |

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir: June 20, 2003

The citation of information on the attached Form PTO-1449s, "List of Art Cited by Applicant" is made pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97, and 1.98. Specifically, the attached PTO-1449 forms list the references that were cited in the parent application of U.S. Serial No. 09/899,410. The present application claims priority to the parent application under 35 U.S.C. 120 and therefore, copies of the references are not necessary in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).

Steven J. Wigmore, Reg. No. 40,447

¹ hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, GAU 2633, Facsimile No.703-872-9314, on June 20, 2003.

JUN 20 2003 45:11 FR KING AND SPALDING LLP404 572 5145 TO 5551#08286#10500 P.03/05

Serial No. 10/045,652

The citation of this information does not constitute an admission of priority or that any cited item is available as a reference, or a waiver of any right the applicant may have under applicable statutes, Rules of Practice in parent cases, or otherwise.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven P. Wigmore

King & Spalding, LLP 45th Floor, 191 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303 404.572.4600

K&S Docket: 08286.105004

Sheet 1 of 2

| 08286.105004 APPLICANT Stephen A. Tho EXAMINER INITIAL | | 10/045,652 | October 26, 2001 | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|----------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Stephen A. Tho | mas et al | | (MOID) | | | October 26, 2001 | | | | |
| EXAMINER INITIAL | mas et al | | GROUP | GROUP | | | | | | |
| INITIAL | | | 2633 | | | | | | | |
| INITIAL | | - | U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS | <u>s </u> | | | | | | |
| AA | DOCUMENT NUMBER | DATE | NAME | CLAUS | SUBCLASS | FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE | | | | |
| | 5,559,858 | 09/24/96 | Beveridge | | | | | | | |
| AB | 6,460,182 | 10/01/02 | BuAbbud | | | | | | | |
| AC | 6,546,014 | 04/08/03 | Kramer et al. | | | | | | | |
| AD | 2003/0090320 A1 | 05/15/03 | Skrobko et al. | | | | | | | |
| AE | 2001/0002486 A1 | 05/31/01 | Kocher et al. | | | | | | | |
| AF | 5,469,507 | 11/21/95 | Canetti et al. | | | | | | | |
| AC | 2002/0164026 A1 | 11/07/02 | Huima | | | | | | | |
| AF | 5,179,591 | 01/12/93 | Hardy et al. | | | | | | | |
| AI | 6,360,320 B2 | 03/19/02 | Ishiguro et al. | | | | | | | |
| AJ | 5,875,430 | 02/23/99 | Koether | | | | | | | |
| Al | 4,763,317 | 08/09/88 | Lehman et al. | | | | | | | |
| AI | 6,427,035 | 07/30/02 | Mahony | | | | | | | |
| Al | 5,349,457 | 09/20/94 | Bears | | | | | | | |
| Al | 6,295,148 | 09/25/01 | Atlas | | | | | | | |
| A(| 5,325,223 | 06/28/94 | Bears | | <u> </u> | 3 | | | | |
| AJ | 6,385,366 | 05/07/02 | Lin | | | | | | | |

JUN 20 2003 15:11 FR KING AND SPALDING LLP404 572 5145 TO 5551#08286#10500 P.05/05

Sheet 2 of 2

FORM PTO-1449

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LIST OF ART CITED BY APPLICANT

| Y. DOCK | ET NO. | | SERIAL NO. 10/045,652 | FRANG DATE October 26, 2001 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 286.105004 10/045,032 PLICANT | | | 2633 | | | | |
| ephen A. Thomas et al. | | | | ling Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) | | | |
| | | HTO | ER PRIOR ART (In | cluding Author, Title, Date, 15 meets | | | |
| | BA | International | Search Report dated 04/22/0 | 3 für PCT/USUI/50361 | | | |
| | BB | International Search Report dated 04/22/03 far PCI/US01/50361 L. Zhang et al., "Label-switching architecture for IP traffic over WDM networks", IEE ProcComm.um., Vol. 147, No. 5, October 2000, pgs. 269-275. J. Masip-Torné, et al., "Providing Differentiated Service Categories in Optical Packet Networks", Proceedings of the International Teletraffic | | | | | |
| | BC | J. Masip-Tomé, et al., "Providing Differentiated Service Categories in Optical Placet Network, June 7 - 11, 1999, Toleraffic Science and Engineering, Amsterdam: ITC-16. Teletraffic Engineering in a Competitive World. Edinburgh, UK, June 7 - 11, 1999, Toleraffic Science and Engineering, Amsterdam: ITC-16. Teletraffic Engineering in a Competitive World. Edinburgh, UK, June 7 - 11, 1999, Toleraffic Science and Engineering. Amsterdam: | | | | | |
| | BD | ITC-16. Telebraffic Engineering in a Competitive World. Edinburgh, Oct. 1888. Elsevier, NL, Vol. 3B, June 7, 1999. pgs. 1115-1126 J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths", Optical J. Bannister et al., "How Many Wavelengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need? A Study of the Performance Limits of Packet Over WaveLengths Do We Really Need Need Need Need Need Need Need Nee | | | | | |
| | BE | Networks Magazin, SPIE, Bernstyllian, View Rep. Rep. Network Light Ontical Amplifiers", Journal of Optical Communications, Factiverial | | | | | |
| | BF | O. W. W. Yang, et al., "All-Optical WDM/TDM Star-bus Network Cosing Cosi | | | | | |
| | BG | Walter Ciciora et al., "Modern Cable Television Technology: Video, Voice, and Base Control Inc., pgs. 167-176 International Search Report dated 04/21/03 for PCT/US02/28734 | | | | | |
| | BH | International | Search Report dated 04/21/ | 03 for PCT/US02/48/34 | | | |
| | BI | Written Oph | nion dated May 6, 2003 for I | PCT/US01/21298 | | | |
| | ВЈ | | | | | | |
| | BK | | | | | | |
| | BL | 1 | | | | | |
| | BM | | | | | | |
| | BN | | | | | | |
| | BO | | | | | | |
| | BP | | | | | | |
| | BQ | | | | | | |
| | BR | | | | | | |
| | BS | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | BT | | | | | | |
| | BU | | | DATE CONSIDERED | | | |
| EXAM | | | | ication in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in | | | |
| EXAM | INER: li | itial if referenc | e considered, whether or | m with next communication to applicant. | | | |

KING & SPALDING, LLP

191 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1763 Telephone: 404/572-4600 Facsimile: 404/572-5100 www.kslaw.com

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

June 20, 2003

TO: Group Art Unit 2633

Company:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Fax #:

703-872-9314

City/State:

Alexandria, VA

Tel.#:

Your Ref.

App. Serial No. 10/045,652

FROM: Steven P. Wigmore

5551

Our Ref. #

08286.105004

NUMBER OF PAGES (including transmittal sheet): 5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE LISTED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NEITHER THE INTENDED RECIPIENT NOR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THIS MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS TELECOPIED INFORMATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TELECOPY IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE TO ARRANGE FOR RETURN OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS TO US

If transmission problems occur or you are not the intended recipient, please call 404.572.2459 immediately. Thank you.

Notes/Comments:

Documents submitted via facsimile:

Applicant

Stephen A. Thomas et al.

Serial No.

10/045,652

Title

Papers Faxed:

Information Disclosure Statement (2-pgs.); and PTO-1449 2-shoet €

Method and System for Processing Downstream Packets of an Optical Network

Attorney Date Faxed Docket

SPW/evc 06/20/03 08286 105004